NEWS UPDATES FROM LOCAL ACC CHAPTERS **PAGE 18**

Q2 2009 An incisivemedia publication

# GC New England

For and about General Counsel


## FAST-ADAPTING FIRMS ANSWER GCs' CALLS FOR BILLING OPTIONS

# INSIDE

## PLAY TIME IS OVER

COMPANIES SAY THEY'RE OVERWHELMED BY NEW TOY SAFETY LAWS

## LOCKING DOWN ON OCCUPATIONAL FRAUD

UNETHICAL ACTIONS HAVE A MAJOR IMPACT ON A COMPANY'S BOTTOM LINE

He offered a couple of typical examples of alternate billing. Currently, many of his clients are calling about employment matters, such as job elimination. Raymond and his client agree on a flat-fee to review the matter and provide an assessment of the situation. Sometimes the engagement ends there. More frequently, the client comes back to draft a severance agreement for the employee or get involved further if an employee retains a lawyer. Each step involves a discussion about defining success within the case, how it will be measured and how much money that's worth.

With volume work from an insurance company, for instance, Raymond works out an annual contract with a set fee. But the client makes a monthly payment to guarantee the firm an income stream. Plus, there are incentive bonuses of 10 to 20 percent tied to successful outcomes, however that is defined in the negotiations.

To make alternate billing work, law firms have to take more of a business mindset, Raymond said, and both sides have to be willing to take some risks.


Attorney Bruce Raymond, a partner in a five-lawyer firm in Glastonbury, Conn., said 'a wide range of companies are looking for an option to paying the $600-an-hour partner who'll put three associates on the case.'

## SMALLER FIRMS ADAPT

The time seems ripe for more discussions, though there's more talk than action at the moment.

Corporate counsel "are holding their attorneys to budgets and they want alternative billing," said Rynowecer, the Boston-based consultant. "A small group of firms has embraced alternative billing, but not many."

BTI's research revealed that 95 percent of corporate counsel surveyed engaged in rate negotiations with outside counsel in the past three months to reduce legal costs, with 40 percent discussing non-hourly billing options.

This spring, Altman Weil surveyed 208 law firms, 93 percent of which said they use some type of non-hourly billing structure with some clients. Yet, those firms said such arrangements accounted for 10 percent or less of total revenue.

The percentage of revenue stemming from alternative arrangements tends to be larger in smaller firms, according to the survey, because smaller firms have the flexibility to be more innovative and aggressive with their billing plans.

Those numbers likely will change this year as legal departments cut back more severely than in 2008, based on firms' projections for alternative billing revenues.

> Research revealed that 95 percent of corporate counsel surveyed engaged in rate negotiations with outside counsel in the past three months to reduce legal costs, with 40 percent discussing non-hourly billing options.

"I have not seen a huge uptick in the use of alternative fees across the entire industry," said Pamela Woldow, a consultant with Altman Weil. "I have seen specific firms adopt and adapt it to their clients."

From the in-house counsel perspective, Boston-based business development consultant Jim Hassett said, "GCs are extremely interested in this topic, but there's a lot of tire-kicking."

Based on a survey he is conducting, Hassett said he has heard some corporate counsel question whether alternative billing actually is a movement that has staying power. Some have privately told Hassett that everyone will jump back on the billable hour gravy train once the economy turns around.

Others, including Hassett, aren't so sure. "I believe it's here to stay," he said. And those in the best position to capitalize "are cutting edge and willing to take risks from the law firm point of view."

Connecticut's Raymond agrees that small and mid-size firms are best able to adapt in this manner. His firm has created a document retention system that's paperless and all-digital. It has outsourced word processing projects to an Atlanta-based business designed to assist law firms, done away with secretaries and made use of software that allows attorneys to work within the firm's network from their homes.

All of which provides the type of flexibility to offer lower rates that are profitable for the firm and attractive to the client, he said.

But major law firms around the country also have started to join the movement, including Kirkland & Ellis, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, Alston & Bird and Holland & Knight. In mid-June, the Mid-Atlantic firm of Saul Ewing launched two different alternative programs, one focused on fixed fees and the other on a per-attorney/per-day billing system.

It seems clear that many business clients hope their outside counsel figures out a way to make alternative billing profitable. They're focused on reducing their legal costs without compromising quality of service, and all options are worth exploring.

"Clients have never been more open to new approaches and new firms," said Rynowecer, the Boston-based consultant at BTI. "Clients are the most receptive in the 11 years I've been tracking this market to hear about the new approaches [law firms] can bring." ■

*This story contains reporting from GC New England's sister publications, including The American Lawyer and Corporate Counsel.*